

Proposal Title :	Marrickville Loca Avenue, Marrickv		al Plan 2011 Amendment -	2-18 Station Stre	et and 1 Leofrene	
Proposal Summa	rezoning land at controls of land a	The planning proposal seeks to amend Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 by rezoning land at 1 Leofrene Avenue, Marrickville and increasing the building heights and FSR controls of land at 2-18 Station Street, 1 Leofrene Avenue and the Station Street road reserve, Marrickville to enable a 16 storey mixed use development.				
			eeks to amend MLEP 2011 ment application for buildi	•		
PP Number :	PP_2013_MARRI	_002_00	Dop File No :	13/18150		
oposal Details				1.00		
Date Planning Proposal Receive	14-Oct-2013		LGA covered :	Marrickville		
Region :	Sydney Region Ea	st	RPA :	Marrickville	Council	
State Electorate :	MARRICKVILLE		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Details	i.					
Street :	2-18 Station Street					
Suburb :	Marrickville	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2204	
Land Parcel :	Lot 10 DP 710424, Lot	11 DP 746611,	Lots A and B DP 399118			
Street :	1 Leofrene Avenue					
Suburb :	Marrickville	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2204	
Land Parcel :	Lot 10 DP 10198					
Street :	Station Street Road Re	serve				
Suburb :	Marrickville	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2204	
Land Parcel :	DP 399118, DP 746611,	DP 710424				

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Deewa Baral
Contact Number :	0285754127
Contact Email :	dewa.baral@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Kendall Banfield
Contact Number :	0293352179
Contact Email :	kendall.banfield@marrickville.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

	Crowth Contro	Sudney South Meet	Rologno Aron Namo :	
	Growth Centre :	Sydney South West	Release Area Name :	
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Both
	No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	120
	Gross Floor Area :	510.00	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
	If Yes, comment :	The Department is not aware of ar concerning this planning proposa		ns with registered lobbyists
5	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	A Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) has been submitted which proposes public domain works that include a new elevated public space over Station Street. In accordance with council's VPA Policy, the assessment of the VPA is being reviewed by Council's General Counsel.		
		Council is undertaking a peer revi space due to unresolved concerns Council's concern includes: - excessive bulk;		
-		D	a 2 of 10	15 Nov 2012 05:

	 impact on the character of the streetscape and townscape; encroachment of the proposed building on the public space; and non-compliance with solar access provisions.
	The following documents are submitted to support the planning proposal: - Survey Plans by ATS Land and Engineering Surveyors Pty Ltd Sheets 1 to 6 dated
	7 February 2012;
	- Site Analysis, photomontages and Architectural Plans prepared by Cracknell and Lonergan Architects;
	- Quantity Surveyors report prepared by BDA Consultants Pty Ltd dated 29 May 2013; - Land Valuation report prepared by Alexander Catania;
	- Traffic and Parking Report prepared by Traffix; - Noise and Vibration Intrusion Assessment prepared by Acoustic Dynamics dated 3 May 2013;
	- Accessibility report prepared by Design Confidence dated 19 June 2013; - Drainage and infrastructure design prepared by John Romanous and Associates dated 18
	June 2013; - Urban design assessment prepared by Jones Sonter Architects dated 17 June 2013; - Solar Access analysis prepared by Steven King, consultant architect dated 6 June 2013; - Geotechnical Report prepared by Environmental Investigations dated 21 June 2012; - Stage 1 Site Assessment Report prepared by Environmental Investigations dated 20 July 2012 Report No. E1629.1AA;
	- Heritage Report prepared by Cracknell and Lonergan Architects; - Preliminary Aeronautical Impact Assessment: Doc v0.9.1, 4 June 2013 by Strategic Air
	Space; and - Landscape plan prepared by Denis Chan dated 19 June 2013.
	Council has advised that any amendments to MLEP 2011 will require associated amendments to Marrickville DCP 2011 which would be prepared and exhibited concurrently with this planning proposal.
	Marrickville Station is planned to be upgraded with improved accessibility to the station. The proposed station upgrade was publicly exhibited by TfNSW between 29 April and 20 May 2013. Council seeks to consult with TfNSW concerning the co-ordination of the planning processes associated with the proposed public space as part of the planning proposal and the proposed upgrade of Marrickville Railway Station.
	The planning proposal includes Council owned land to be used as public open space. Council is proposing to progress the planning proposal under delegation. The matter is considered to be of local significance and Council's request for delegation is supported.
External Supporting Notes :	
	ent
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to amend Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 by rezoning land at 1 Leofrene Avenue, Marrickville and increasing the building heights and FSR controls of land at 2-18 Station Street, 1 Leofrene Avenue and the Station Street road reserve, Marrickville to enable a 16 storey mixed use development.

In addition, the proposal also seeks to amend MLEP 2011 to require a design competition process as part of any development application for buildings of a significant scale.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Is an explanation of provis						
Comment :		l seeks to make the following amendments to MLEP 2011:				
	1. Amend Land Zoning Low Density Residenti) Map to rezone the land at 1 Leofrene Avenue, Marrickville from R2 ial to B2 Local Centre (Tag Map);				
	2. Amend Floor Space Marrickville from 3:1 to Marrickville(Tag Map);	Ratio Map to increase the FSR of land at 2-18 Station Street, o 5:1 and allow 5:1 FSR for the land at Station Street road reserve,				
	3. Amend Floor Space Marrickville from 0.6:1	Ratio Map to increase the FSR of the land at 1 Leofrene Avenue, to 5:1 (Tag Map);				
	4. Amend Height of Bu Street, Marrickville fro reserve(Tag Map);	uilding Map to increase the height limit of the land at 2-18 Station om 26m to 59m and allow 59m height for land at Station Street road				
	5. Amend Height of Bu Avenue, Marrickville fi	uilding Map to increase the height limit of the land at 1 Leofrene rom 9.5m to 59m (Tag Map); and				
	6. Amend MLEP 2011 of any development a	to include provisions to require a design competition process as part pplication for buildings of significant scale on the site.				
Justification - s55 (2)	(c)					
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Di					
b) S.117 directions identi	fied by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones				
* May need the Director C	General's agreement	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones				
		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport				
		3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils				
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements				
		6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes				
		6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036				
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required?					
	ard Instrument (LEPs) Or					
d) Which SEPPs have th		SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004				
		SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008				
		SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007				
		SEPP (Major Projects) 2005				
		SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009				
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	follows:	sal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs and s117 Directions as				
	The planning propo it provides employn commercial floorsp	esal is consistent with Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones as nent opportunities through the creation of over 500 sqm of retail and ace.				
	The planning propo	esal is consistent with Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation as no part				

of the subject site is identified as being a heritage item or located within a heritage conservation area. Marrickville Railway Station Group, listed under MLEP 2011 - Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage and situated nearby is considered to have no negative impact as a result of this proposal.

The planning proposal is consistent with Direction 3.1 Residential Zones as it provides 120 additional residential units and makes efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.

The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of Direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport as the subject site is adjacent to Marrickville Railway Station and near other local services.

The planning proposal is considered consistent with Direction 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes as MLEP 2011 contains provisions to comply with AS 2012 interior noise levels. However, Preliminary Aeronautical Impact Assessment suggests the proposed development penetrates the Obstacle Limitation surface (OLS) by up to 15.8m. Consultation is required with the (Commonwealth) Department of Infrastructure and Civil Aviation Safety Authority in relation to this.

The planning proposal is partially inconsistent with Direction 4.1 Acid sulfate Soils as Council has considered an Environmental Site Assessment report and clause 6.1 of MLEP 2011 provides appropriate provisions that need consideration prior to any development on the site. However, the study did not consider the Station Street road reserve and 1 Leofrene Avenue, Marrickville that form part of the subject site. An additional study is required on these sites prior to any development application being determined by Council. The agreement of the Director General is recommended as the inconsistency is of minor significance.

The planning proposal is consistent with Direction 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements as the proposal does not include any requirements for concurrences, consultation or referrals.

The planning proposal is consistent with Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes as it does not proposes to remove an existing reservation for a public purpose.

The planning proposal is consistent with 6.3 Site Specific Provisions as the proposal seeks to amend height of building and FSR controls for the subject site rather than applying other site specific controls.

The planning proposal is consistent with 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 as it assists in achieving:

- 80% of all new homes within the walking catchments of existing and planned centres of all sizes with good public transport;

- 70% of new homes in existing suburbs; and

- development around existing and planned transport capacity.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007:

The Geotechnical report and Noise and Vibration Assessment report supports the provisions outlined in the SEPP concerning the development adjacent to rail corridors and impact of rail noise or vibration on non-rail development. The proposal is consistent in relation to this SEPP.

The subject site adjoins Marrickville Station and a small portion of the site is owned by Rail Corporation NSW. Additional consultation with Rail Corporation is required prior to exhibition due to the nature of land ownership and the associated proposed Marrickville Station upgrade being undertaken by TfNSW.

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land 1998

Stage 1 Environmental Site Assessment for 2-18 Station Street, Marrickville suggests the likelihood of contamination as low to medium. However, the study did not consider Station Street road reserve and 1 Leofrene Avenue, Marrickville that form part of the subject site. Further study on contamination is required to ensure compliance with Contaminated Land Management Act prior to any development work proceeding on the subject land.

The planning proposal is considered consistent to all other relevant SEPPs.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Comment :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Mapping to support the planning proposal is provided as follows:

- Current Floor Space Ratio Map
- Proposed Floor Space Ratio Map
- Current Height of Buildings Map
- Proposed Height of Buildings Map
- Current Land Zoning Map
- Proposed Land Zoning Map

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period due to the proposed scale of development and level of non compliance with the current height of building and floor space ratio controls and is supported.

Council has proposed to undertake public exhibition in accordance with the requirements of the EPA & Act 1979 and EPA Regulations 2000 which is supported.

Council also proposes to publicly exhibit the peer review response of the proposed public space design and building envelope along with the planning proposal which is supported.

Council is required to consult TfNSW in relation to the rezoning of the rail corporation land and to coordinate the public domain works with the proposed upgrade of Marrickville Railway Station.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

The Principal LEP being Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 was notified on 12 December 2011.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal : The plannning proposal is initiated by Council upon the request of the proponent to enable a mixed use development comprising retail floor spaces, residential units, public plaza and public parking.

The proposal seeks to increase the height of building from 26m to 59m and FSR from 3:1 to 5:1 for the site at 2-18 Station Street, Marrickville. It also seeks to rezone the land at 1 Leofrene Avenue from R2 Low Density Residential to B2 Local Centre with the building height limit of 59m and 5:1 FSR control. This would enable a mixed use development comprising of 120 residential units and approximately 510 sqm of retail floor space. The proposal also includes public domain works that include elevated public space over Station Street as part of the Voluntary Planning Agreement with Council.

Council is currently commissioning a peer review of the proposed building envelope and the proposed public open space. Council has resolved to further consider the planning proposal, the VPA and findings of the peer review prior to public exhibition of the planning proposal.

The Marrickville LEP 2011 encourages increased residential development in accessible locations. The site being located adjacent to Marrickville Railway Station supports the aims of MLEP 2011 to increase residential and employment densities near public transport. In addition, the subject site is identified as a potential development site by Marrickville Village Centre Study that informed the development of planning controls which currently apply to the site. The Marrickville Development Control Plan (MDCP) 2011 identifies the site under Marrickville Town Centre (Commercial Precinct 40) as Masterplan Area (chapter 9.40) and encourages a greater scale and higher density development associated with enhanced public domain.

Although the current planning controls encourage higher density and greater scale, the current development potential is limited to 26m building height and 3:1 FSR controls. This is insufficient to achieve the required residential density to offset the cost of public domain works and will not achieve a viable development that can deliver a significant public benefit.

The proposed public domain works include elevated public square and integrated transport interchange and is estimated to cost \$ 4.5 million. The rationale behind the proposed building height and FSR control is to reduce the public domain cost to Council through VPA.

The outcome of the planning proposal may impact the character of streetscape and may result in non compliance with solar access provisions. However, the proposal would deliver a transit oriented mixed use development and a renewal of underutilised public area. Since the benefits of the proposal outweighs the possible negative impact, the proposed control is supported.

The planning proposal seeks significant changes to the planning controls and hence Council may exhibit the development proposal details along with the planning proposal at the time of public exhibition.

The planning proposal also seeks to amend the MLEP 2011 to include provisions to require a design competition process as part of any development application for buildings of a significant scale. Council has experienced problems in achieving the level of design quality envisaged within MLEP and MDCP 2011 particularly for gateway sites and those sites with strategic importance. This is because Council does not have control over the quality of design. The inclusion of a 'Design Excellence' clause in MLEP 2011 as proposed in the proposal is supported. Council should prepare a draft clause and submit this to the Department for endorsement prior to public exhibition. The clause could include:

- Objective of the clause
- Development to which this applies

Leonene Avenue, man		
	 Set of criteria that exhibits the desired design excellence Any Competitive design process details (if required) 	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with the the Direction B of the 'Metropolitan Plan For Sydney 2036' that sets key policy settings for growing and renewing centres. Objective B3 of the direction reinstate to plan for new centres and instigate a program for high quality urban renewal in existing centres serviced by public transport.	
	The proposal is consistent with Direction 4 of the 'Metropolitan Plan For Sydney 2036' that sets key policy settings for housing Sydney's population. Objective D4 of the direction focuses on improving the quality of new housing development and urban renewal.	
	The draft Sydney Metropolitan Strategy 2031 also focuses on enabling housing intensification around established and new centres and well served by public transport and other services.	
	The draft South Subregional Strategy sets a target for 4,150 new dwellings and 500 new jobs for Marrickville LGA. The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of the draft Strategy by facilitating housing and retail development in transport oriented centres.	
	The site was identified as part of Marrickville Village Centres Study (2009), commissioned by Council that informed the development of planning controls currently applying to the site. The Marrickville Development control Plan (MDCP)2011 identifies the site under the Commercial Precinct (chapter 9.40) as Masterplan Area and encourages a greater scale and higher density development on the site with enhanced public domain.	
	Other Strategic documents including Marrickville Urban Strategy, Marrickville Employment Land Study and Marrickville Integrated Transport Strategy informed the current planning controls that support increased residential and commercial development in appropriate locations. Although the redevelopment of the subject site is consistent with council's local strategies, the scale pf the proposed development needs further evaluation to confirm its consistency with Council's strategy. The peer review of the supporting documents along with the planning proposal will assist in evaluating the consistency of the proposal against local strategies.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	There is no likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats will be adversely affected by this planning proposal. The subject site is identified as Class 5 Acid sulfate Soils within MLEP 2011. Submission of additional information regarding acid sulfate soils is required as part of any development application lodged for this site.	
	The supporting Geotechnical Investigation, Environmental Site Assessment and Noise and Vibration Assessment reports submitted as part of the planning proposal show no adverse impact to the environment. However, Council may request further information if required as part of the development application.	
	The proposed development can boost the economic viability of the Marrrickville shopping strip and additional local population following any mixed use development on the subject site will support local services.	
	The proposal delivers a public plaza as part of VPA with Council which will have a positive social impact.	_

Accessment Process

Proposal type	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Fimeframe to make _EP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	Transport for NSV Transport for NSV				
s Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
f no, provide reasons	:				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : Yes				
f Yes, reasons :	Prior to public ex Department for er		cil to submit a draft 'Design l	Excellence' claus	se to the
dentify any additional	studies, if required. :				
f Other, provide reaso	ns :				
No additional studies	required as part of t	he planning p	proposal, but at development	application stag	je.
dentify any internal co	nsultations, if required	1:			
No internal consultati	ion required				
s the provision and fu	nding of state infrastru	icture relevan	t to this plan? Yes		
f Yes, reasons :	station access s upgrade was pu	such as new s blicly exhibit	e Station are being undertake stairs, elevator and station co ed by TfNSW between 29 Ap ited to commence from June	oncourse. The pr ril and 20 May 20	roposed
uments			1.1	E hr	
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
Cover Letter.pdf			Proposal Coverir	ig Letter	Yes
Planning proposal _S	tation Street.pdf		Proposal		Yes
Fag Map.pdf Extract from the prop	onent's proposal odi	,	Map Proposal		Yes Yes
Extract from the proponent's proposal.pdf Council Meeting_Report.pdf			Proposal		No

S.117 directions:

- **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- **3.1 Residential Zones**
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to following conditions:
	1. The planning proposal be exhibited for 28 days;
	2. The planning proposal be completed within 12 months of the gateway determination;
	3. Council is proposing to progress the planning proposal under delegation. The matter is considered to be of local significance and Council's request for delegation is supported.
	4. The details of the proposed development and the response of the peer review on the proposed building envelope and public open space be included in the exhibition material;
	5. Prior to exhibition, Council to update the planning proposal with details in relation to the design excellence clause by clearly identifying:
	a) Objective of the clause
	b) development to which it applies c) set of criteria that exhibits the desired design excellence
	d) any competitive design process (if required)
	and submit this to the Department for endorsement.
	6. Partial inconsistency with s117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils is considered to be of minor significance and the Director General's approval to proceed under this Direction be granted. No further consideration of any other s117 Directions is required.
	7. Consultation is required with the following agencies:
	a) Transport for NSW b) Civil Aviation Safety Authority
	c) Department of Infrastructure and Regional development
	5. No further studies are required to be undertaken until any future development application stage.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal seeks to facilitate revitalisation of the Marrickville Station precinct and is consistent with the Government's objectives and direction and is supported.
Signature:	agan Hollingsworth Date: 15 November 2013
Printed Name: M	egan Hollingsworth Date: 15 November 2013